

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

Г	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	09/896,791 06/29/2001		Anders Berkenstam	13425-040001 / 00244-US	8306
	7590 09/04/2002				
JANIS K. FRASER Fish & Richardson P.C. 225 Franklin Street			EXAMINER		NER
				NICKOL, GARY B	
	Boston, MA 02110-2804		,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1642	717
				DATE MAILED: 09/04/2002	74

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Control Control	Application N .	Applicant(s)				
·	09/896,791	BERKENSTAM ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Gary B. Nickol Ph.D.	1642				
The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication appears on the c ver sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disp sition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 13-21</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.	6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-11, 13-21 are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers  O) The exception is chicated to by the Exeminer						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	•					
	a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li> </ol>	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-11, 13-21 are pending.

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1,4-7, and 18 drawn to isolated nucleic acids, vectors, and host cells, classified in class 536, subclass 23.5; class 425, subclass 320.1, 325.
- II. Claims 2,3, drawn to an isolated mammalian IPAS polypeptide, classified in class530, subclass 350.
- III. Claims 8-9, drawn to a method for identifying an agent useful for activating the expression of a mammalian IPAS nucleic acid molecule, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
- IV. Claims 10-11, drawn to a method for identifying an agent useful for stimulating the biological activities of a mammalian IPAS polypeptide, classified in class 435, subclass 4.
- V. Claims 13-15, 19, drawn to a method solely for the treatment of <u>angiogenic</u>
   disease comprising administering to a subject an effective amount of an agent

Art Unit: 1642

which activates the expression of an IPAS nucleic acid molecule, classified in class 514, subclass 44.

- VI. Claims 13,15 drawn to a method solely for the treatment of tumor growth comprising administering to a subject an effective amount of an agent which activates the expression of an IPAS nucleic acid molecule, classified in class 514, subclass 44.
- VII. Claims 16-17, 20-21 drawn to a method solely for the treatment of <u>angiogenic</u> disease comprising administering to a subject an effective amount of an agent, classified in class 424, subclass 184.1.
- VIII. Claims 16-17 drawn to a method solely for the treatment of tumor growth comprising administering to a subject an effective amount of an agent, classified in class 424, subclass 184.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The Inventions of Groups I-II represent separate and distinct products which are made by materially different methods, and are used in materially different methods which have different modes of operation, different functions and different effects.

Art Unit: 1642

The inventions of Groups III-VIII are materially distinct methods which differ at least in objectives, method steps, reagents and/or dosages and/or schedules used, response variables, and criteria for success.

The invention of Group I and the methods of Groups III, V, and VI are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (I) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (ii) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product [see MPEP § 806.05(h)]. In the instant case the isolated nucleic acids as claimed can be used in a materially different process such as affinity chromatography.

The invention of Group II and the methods of Groups IV, VII, and VIII are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (I) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (ii) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product [see MPEP § 806.05(h)]. In the instant case the isolated polypeptide as claimed can be used in a materially different process such as affinity chromatography.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Furthermore, because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given

Application/Control Number: 09/896,791 Page 5

Art Unit: 1642

above and the search required for one group is not required for another group, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

SPECIES ELECTION:

Claims 14, and 19-21 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising the following diseases which differ at least in etiology, pathology, and mechanisms.

a) ischemic cardiovascular lesion

b) stroke

c) diabetic microvascular diseases

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Art Unit: 1642

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary B. Nickol Ph.D. whose telephone number is 703-305-7143. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30-5:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Caputa can be reached on 703-308-3995. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3014 for regular communications and 703-308-4242 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Art Unit: 1642

Gary B. Nickol, Ph.D. Examiner Art Unit 1642

GBN

September 3, 2002